



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/245,499    02/05/99    KHANDROS

I    3401.P1D1C1

EXAMINER

MMC1/1006

CUNEO, K

JAMES C SCHELLER JR  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2841

DATE MAILED:

10/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Serial Number: 09/245499  
Art Unit: 2841

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Drawings*

1. The drawings are objected to by the examiner for improper cross hatching. All the parts shown in section should be cross hatched according to MPEP 608.02, page 600-84.  
  
The drawings are also objected to because those figures showing that which is old are **not** labeled with a prior art legend. Such a label is required. See, for example, the brief description of figure 1.

Also, the embodiment of the invention claimed is not shown in the drawings and explained in the detailed description of the invention. An illustration and explanation are required. Please note that all of the features of the elected invention should be shown in a single embodiment.

Please note that drawing corrections cannot be postponed until notice of allowance is received, even though submission of formal drawings can be postponed. See MPEP 608.02(v). Corrections in response to this office action are required. No corrections have been received yet.

### *Specification*

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### *Treatment of Claims Based on Prior Art*

#### *35 U.S.C. § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

Serial Number: 09/2454  
 Art Unit: 2841

or this section made in this Office action:

basis for the rejection to a patent unless --

patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on  
 A person more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10-121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by  
 et al. (US 3389457, hereafter Goldman).

and 117-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by  
 contact 32 of Ashby (US 3519890). See also 39-42 which disclose the die.

Claims 113-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the figure  
 and contact 6 of Walraven et al. (US 3188535). See also column 1 line 70, through column 2, line 5.

### *Allowable Subject Matter*

7 Claims 115-116 and 123 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would  
 be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any  
 intervening claims.

The prior art does not disclose the contacts with the claimed shape and claimed layer structure  
 being formed directly on a semiconductor die.

### *Related Prior Art*

8. The following references are considered pertinent to the present application.

-- De Mille et al. and Looney disclose nickel or Kovar wire which is coated with a softer metal.

- Urban discloses a free standing contact directly on the die (instead of on the package). But, the contact does not have the soft precursor with the overcoat. This is true of Moroney as well.
- Miller discloses copper wire over coated with a hard metal such as nickel (last paragraph of column 1). Nevertheless, the contact being free standing with a tip pointing away from the die is not disclosed. And, since this contact is specifically for ultrasonic welding, one of ordinary skill would not apply this layer structure to a soldered contact.
- Column 14, lines 58-62, of Clayton disclose contact formation on bare dies.

***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments have been carefully reviewed, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

***Closing***

10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kamand Cuneo at (703) 308-1233. Examiner Cuneo's supervisor is Mr. Jeffrey Gaffin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3301.



K. Cuneo  
Patent Examiner, Group 2841  
September 27, 2000